

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 MARIA UCHYTIL, on behalf of the  
10 United States of America,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 AVANDE INC., a Washington corporation,  
14 *et al.*,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. C12-2091-JCC

MINUTE ORDER

16 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.  
17 Coughenour, United States District Judge:

18 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' stipulated motions to seal (Dkt. Nos.  
19 160, 176) exhibits to Plaintiff's responses to Defendants' motions to exclude testimony (Dkt.  
20 Nos. 152, 154) and for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 178). The exhibits at issue (Dkt. Nos. 164,  
21 167, 180) are documents produced by Defendants, the Federal Government, and the Federal  
22 Home Loan Bank of Chicago ("FHLBC"). (Dkt. No. 176 at 1.) The producing parties have  
23 marked the documents as confidential pursuant to the operative protective order in this case.

24 The parties' protective order does not presumptively entitle information designated as  
25 confidential to be filed under seal. (Dkt. No. 110 at 1–2.) This district's Local Civil Rules require  
26 a party who designates a document as confidential to provide legal and factual justification for

1 sealing such a document when it is offered by an opposing party. W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R.  
2 5(g)(3). The seventy-six documents Plaintiff seeks to file under seal include a number of  
3 deposition transcripts, responses to interrogatories, and an expert report, among other business  
4 documents. (*See* Dkt. Nos. 164, 167, 180.) It is not readily apparent to the Court why these  
5 documents are confidential, what portions of the documents contain sensitive information, or  
6 why redaction was not a sufficient alternative to sealing. Defendants are ORDERED to provide a  
7 response to the stipulated motions identifying and addressing these questions for the relevant  
8 documents they designated as confidential. This response must comply with Local Civil Rule  
9 5(g)(3)(B) and make a showing as to why redaction is not an acceptable alternative to sealing.

10 DATED this 7th day of June 2018.

11  
12 William M. McCool  
13 Clerk of Court

14 s/Tomas Hernandez  
15 Deputy Clerk  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26